

MAY 27 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SAMIR HANNA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71858

Agency No. A78-031-602

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 20, 2008<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: PREGERSON, TASHIMA, and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

Samir Hanna, a native and citizen of Egypt, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming an immigration judge's

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(“IJ”) decision denying his application for a waiver of inadmissibility pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h). We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ’s determination that Hanna failed to show extreme hardship to a qualifying relative and therefore did not merit a waiver under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h). 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) (“Notwithstanding any other provision of law . . . no court shall have jurisdiction to review[] any judgment regarding the granting of relief under section [1182(h)] . . . .”); *Ramadan v. Gonzales*, 479 F.3d 646, 654 (9th Cir. 2007) (per curiam) (stating that the REAL ID Act “does not restore jurisdiction over discretionary determinations”).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**